Environmental Improvements in Developing Economies: A Multi-Sectoral Analysis of Policy, Technology, and Community Action in Nigeria

Robinson Tare Godswill[1]
godwin.robinson@iuokada.edu.ng
Department of Geology and Regional Planning,
Igbinedion University,
Okada, Edo State, Nigeria

David, E. Eighemhenrio[2]
eighemhenrio.david@iuokada.edu.ng
Department of Geology and Regional Planning,
Igbinedion University,
Okada, Edo State, Nigeria

https://doi.org/10.56201/ijgem.vol.12.no11.2026.pg129.157

Abstract
This PhD seminar paper critically examines the multifaceted landscape of environmental improvements in Nigeria, positioning it as a representative case study for developing economies grappling with the dual pressures of rapid development and ecological degradation. Moving beyond a purely technical assessment, the paper employs a multi-sectoral lens to analyze interventions across renewable energy adoption, sustainable waste management, water resource restoration, innovative infrastructure, and ecological reclamation. Through an in-depth analysis of six distinct case studies, ranging from urban solar PV integration to the great green wall reforestation program in northern Nigeria, the paper evaluates the efficacy, scalability, and socio-economic impacts of current environmental strategies. The research adopts a socio-technical systems approach, integrating insights from Ecological Modernization Theory, Integrated Sustainable Waste Management, and Environmental Justice frameworks. The findings reveal a complex picture: while innovative pilot projects and policy frameworks demonstrate significant potential for positive impact, their success is often undermined by systemic barriers including inconsistent policy enforcement, infrastructural deficits, high upfront costs, and insufficient community engagement. Successful interventions, such as the Sokoto River restoration project and the waste-to-wealth road technology demonstrate the critical importance of aligning technological innovation with robust governance, community participation, and institutional champions. The paper argues for a paradigm shift towards integrated, community-centered approaches that synergize technological innovation with robust governance and local empowerment to achieve durable environmental improvements. It concludes with a set of evidence-based policy recommendations spanning governance strengthening, innovative financing, human capital development, and enhanced monitoring frameworks.

Keywords: *Environmental Sustainability, Nigeria, Renewable Energy, Waste Management, Climate Adaptation, Sustainable Infrastructure, Community Engagement, Ecological Modernization, Environmental Justice*

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background to the Study

The pursuit of environmental improvements has come to be as a central global imperative of the 21st century, motivated by the escalating crises of biodiversity loss, and resource depletion that threaten both ecological systems and human well-being (IPCC, 2022). The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 13 (Climate Action), Goal 14 (Life Below Water), and Goal 15 (Life on Land), reflect the international consensus that environmental sustainability is not merely an aesthetic or conservationist pursuit but a fundamental prerequisite for human development, economic stability, and social equity (United Nations, 2015). For developing nations, this challenge is particularly acute, as they must navigate the complex path of economic development while simultaneously mitigating environmental degradation and building resilience against climate impacts, they did little to create (Okoye & Umeh, 2021). The concept of environmental improvements, therefore, encompasses a broad spectrum of interventions ranging from pollution control and ecosystem restoration to the adoption of clean technologies and sustainable resource management practices (Stern, 2007). With a population exceeding 200 million, Nigeria is the most heavily populated country in Africa and also possesses the largest economy on the continent, stands at the epicenter of this struggle between development and environmental sustainability (National Population Commission [NPC], 2022). The country's trajectory of rapid urbanization, with a current urbanization rate of approximately 4.3% annually, has placed enormous pressure on its natural resources and environmental systems (United Nations Human Settlements Programme [UN-Habitat], 2020). Industrial growth, agricultural expansion, and extractive industries have exacted a heavy toll on Nigeria's once-rich natural environment, manifesting in issues such as rampant deforestation at a rate of 3.5% per year, one of the highest in the world, widespread pollution of air and water bodies, soil erosion affecting millions of hectares of agricultural land, and increasing helplessness to severe weather conditions including devastating floods and droughts (Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], 2020; Eze, 2022).

The environmental challenges facing Nigeria are not abstract ecological concerns but concrete threats to human well-being and economic prosperity. Inefficient power generation, heavily reliant on fossil fuels and small-scale diesel generators, costs the Nigerian economy an estimated $28 billion annually in lost productivity while contributing significantly to local air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions (Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission [NERC], 2021). The health impacts of this pollution are severe, with the World Health Organization (WHO, 2021) attributing approximately 114,000 premature deaths annually in Nigeria to ambient and household air pollution. Unmanaged waste systems, which see less than 40% of urban waste collected and even less properly disposed of, breed disease, contaminate water sources, and clog drainage systems, contributing to recurrent flooding in major cities (Ogwueleka, 2009). Land degradation, desertification in the north, and oil pollution in the Niger Delta threaten the livelihoods of millions of farmers and fishers, exacerbating poverty and driving conflict over diminishing resources (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2011; Olowolafe, 2025).

1.2 Statement of the Research Problem

Despite decades of environmental policy initiatives, regulatory frameworks, and international donor interventions, meaningful environmental improvements in Nigeria remain elusive and unevenly distributed (Adekola, Fischbacher-Smith, & Fischbacher-Smith, 2017). The country has ratified numerous international environmental agreements, established the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), and developed

national policies on climate change, renewable energy, and waste management. Yet, environmental quality continues to deteriorate across multiple indicators, raising fundamental questions about the efficacy of current approaches and the factors that enable or constrain successful interventions (Oluwaniyi, 2020).

The research problem is compounded by a tendency in both academic literature and policy practice to approach environmental improvements through narrow, sectoral lenses that fail to capture the complex interactions between technological interventions, governance systems, economic factors, and social dynamics (Smith, Voß, & Grin, 2010). Renewable energy programs focus on technology deployment without adequately addressing skills gaps and financing barriers (Unegbu, Yawas, Dan-asabe, & Alabi, 2025). Waste management initiatives invest in collection vehicles and landfill infrastructure while neglecting the governance failures and community dynamics that drive unofficial dumping (Olowolafe, 2025). Conservation projects plant trees without addressing the underlying drivers of deforestation or engaging local communities as partners (Women and Gender Constituency [WGC], 2025). Furthermore, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding systematic, comparative analysis of environmental improvement initiatives across different sectors and geographic contexts within a single developing country. While individual case studies exist, they rarely speak to one another or generate transferable insights about what works, why, and under what conditions (Flyvbjerg, 2006). This fragmentation limits the ability of policymakers, practitioners, and researchers to learn from successes and failures and to design more effective, context-appropriate interventions.

This study addresses these gaps by carrying out a multi-sectoral evaluation of environmental improvement initiatives in Nigeria, examining six diverse case studies through a unified analytical framework that integrates insights from ecological modernization theory, integrated sustainable waste management, and socio-technical transitions theory. The central research problem is to understand how environmental improvements can be achieved in a context characterized by institutional weakness, infrastructure deficits, resource constraints, and deep social inequalities, and to identify the combinations of factors that enable successful interventions to emerge and scale.

1.3 Research Questions

This seminar paper is guided by the following research questions:

Primary Research Question:

How can meaningful environmental improvements be achieved and sustained in the Nigerian context, given the multifaceted link of technological, institutional, social and economic factors?

Secondary Research Questions:

1. What environmental improvement initiatives have been implemented across key sectors in Nigeria, and what have been their outcomes in terms of environmental, economic, and social impacts?
2. What are the critical barriers that constrain the effectiveness and scalability of environmental improvement interventions in Nigeria?
3. What factors enable successful environmental improvements, and how do these factors interact across different contexts and sectors?
4. How do technological interventions interact with governance systems, community dynamics, and institutional arrangements to produce environmental outcomes?
5. What policy and practical recommendations can be derived from comparative case analysis to guide future environmental improvement efforts in Nigeria and similar developing

contexts?

1.4 Objectives of the Paper

In alignment with the research questions, this paper pursues the following objectives:

1. To establish a comprehensive theoretical framework for understanding environmental improvement initiatives in developing country contexts, synthesizing insights from multiple theoretical traditions.
2. To document and analyze six distinct case studies of environmental improvement initiatives across renewable energy, waste management, water resources, infrastructure, ecological restoration, and industrial pollution sectors in Nigeria.
3. To evaluate the outcomes of these initiatives using a multi-dimensional framework that considers environmental effectiveness, economic viability, social equity, and institutional sustainability.
4. To identify and synthesize the common barriers and enablers that shape the success or failure of environmental improvement efforts across different sectors and contexts.
5. To propose an integrated set of evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers seeking to advance environmental improvements in Nigeria and similar developing country contexts.

2.0 Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

2.1 Conceptualizing Environmental Improvements

The term "environmental improvements" encompasses a broad range of interventions aimed at reducing negative human impacts on the natural environment, restoring degraded ecosystems, and promoting sustainable resource use (Stavins, Reinhardt, & Vietor, 2007). However, the concept is contested and value-laden, with different stakeholders holding divergent views about what constitutes an improvement, for whom, and according to what criteria (Hajer, 1995). For some, environmental improvement is primarily a technical challenge of reducing pollution and increasing efficiency, a perspective that aligns with engineering and managerial approaches. For others, it is fundamentally a social and political challenge of redistributing environmental harms and benefits more equitably, a perspective rooted in environmental justice scholarship (Schlosberg, 2007).

This paper adopts a multi-dimensional conceptualization of environmental improvements, drawing on the sustainable development literature that emphasizes the interdependence of environmental, economic, and social dimensions (WCED, 1987). Environmental improvements, from this perspective, are interventions that simultaneously: (1) minimize harmful effects on the environment, including contamination, exhaustion of natural resources, and destruction of ecosystems; (2) produce economic gains such as reduced expenses, employment opportunities, and improved efficiency and (3) contribute to social equity by guaranteeing that the rewards of environmental quality are accessible to all segments of society, particularly marginalized groups (Lehtonen, 2004).

This multi-dimensional conceptualization is particularly important in the Nigerian context, where environmental degradation is inextricably linked to poverty, inequality, and underdevelopment (Akinbami, 2019). An intervention that reduces carbon emissions but displaces vulnerable communities or undermines local livelihoods cannot be considered a genuine environmental improvement from a sustainability perspective. Similarly, a project that creates green jobs but merely shifts pollution to another location or medium fails the test of genuine environmental improvement. The analytical framework developed in this paper therefore evaluates case studies not only on their environmental metrics but also on their economic and social outcomes.

2.2 Ecological Modernization Theory

Ecological Modernization Theory (EMT) provides a valuable starting point for understanding environmental improvements in industrialized and industrializing contexts. Originally developed by European scholars such as Joseph Huber, Arthur Mol, and Gert Spaargaren in the 1980s and 1990s, EMT argues that technological advancement can enable a balance between economic development and the preservation of the environment, market mechanisms, and modernized state regulation (Mol & Sonnenfeld, 2000). From this perspective, environmental improvements are achieved by "ecologizing" the economy, that is, by incorporating environmental considerations into the core processes of production and consumption (Huber, 2004).

The central argument of EMT is that environmental problems are not inevitable outcomes of industrialization but rather design flaws that can be corrected through more intelligent, efficient, and ecologically sensitive technologies and practices (Mol, 2001). This process of ecological modernization involves several key dynamics: the development and diffusion of clean technologies, the internalization of environmental costs through pricing mechanisms such as carbon taxes, the shift from reactive pollution control to proactive prevention, and the transformation of state regulation from command-and-control approaches to more flexible, participatory, and market-based instruments (Gouldson & Murphy, 1998).

EMT has been influential in shaping environmental policy in Europe and beyond, providing theoretical justification for investments in renewable energy, eco-efficiency, and green innovation (Jänicke, 2008). The focus on renewable energy integration in urban buildings, as documented by Unegbu et al. (2025) in their study of Nigerian cities, is a classic example of EMT in practice. It suggests that environmental gains can go hand-in-hand with economic benefits (energy cost savings, enhanced energy security, job creation) through the deployment of advanced technologies like solar photovoltaic systems.

However, EMT has been subject to significant critique, particularly regarding its applicability to developing country contexts (Buttel, 2000). Critics argue that EMT assumes the existence of a functioning, modern state apparatus with the capacity to regulate effectively, a condition often absent in countries like Nigeria where state institutions are weak, under-resourced, and captured by vested interests (Sonnenfeld & Mol, 2002). EMT also tends to downplay the political dimensions of environmental change, assuming that technological solutions can be implemented without confronting entrenched power structures or addressing deep-seated inequalities (York & Rosa, 2003). Furthermore, the theory's focus on technological innovation may obscure the importance of behavioral change, cultural factors, and traditional knowledge in achieving environmental improvements (Baker, 2007).

2.3 Integrated Sustainable Waste Management Framework

While EMT provides insights into technological and economic dimensions of environmental improvement, the Integrated Sustainable Waste Management (ISWM) framework offers a more comprehensive approach that explicitly addresses governance, stakeholder engagement, and the informal sector. Originally developed in the 1990s by researchers at the University of Utrecht and the Waste Management and Research Center in the Netherlands, ISWM was designed specifically to address waste management challenges in low- and middle-income countries where conventional approaches imported from the global North had proven inadequate (van de Klundert & Anschütz, 2001).

ISWM broadens the focus from technical hardware, such as collection vehicles, landfills, and recycling plants, to include the "software" elements of governance, institutions, stakeholder participation, and financial sustainability (Wilson, Velis, & Cheeseman, 2006). The framework emphasizes that effective waste management is not just about building the right

facilities but about creating a functional system that involves households, businesses, informal waste workers, community organizations, and all tiers of government in a coherent and coordinated manner (Scheinberg, Wilson, & Rodic, 2010).

The ISWM framework is built around several key principles. First, it recognizes that waste management systems must be tailored to local conditions, including waste composition, climate, culture, institutional capacity, and economic resources, there is no one-size-fits-all solution (Marshall & Farahbakhsh, 2013). Second, it emphasizes the importance of engaging all stakeholders, particularly the informal sector which handles a significant portion of waste collection and recycling in most developing countries (Wilson, Araba, Chinwah, & Cheeseman, 2009). Third, it calls for integrated planning that considers the entire waste stream and all elements of the waste management system, from generation and storage through collection, transport, treatment, and disposal, rather than focusing on individual components in isolation (Guerrero, Maas, & Hogland, 2013).

Olowolafe's (2025) doctoral research on unofficial waste sites in Ado-Ekiti explicitly utilizes the ISWM framework to analyze the drivers of unsanitary dumping and to model intervention strategies. The study demonstrates that the proliferation of unofficial waste sites is not merely a function of inadequate infrastructure but reflects systemic failures in governance, service delivery, and community engagement. The proposed solutions, including community composting schemes, incentivized recycling, and targeted education campaigns, emerge directly from the ISWM emphasis on multi-stakeholder engagement and locally appropriate interventions.

2.4 Socio-Technical Transitions Theory

A third theoretical perspective that informs this paper is socio-technical transitions theory, which has emerged over the past two decades as a powerful framework for understanding fundamental, long-term change in systems that provide essential societal functions such as energy, water, transport, and food (Geels, 2002). This theory is particularly relevant to environmental improvements because many environmental challenges, such as transitioning from fossil fuels to renewable energy or from linear to circular material flows, require exactly the kind of systemic transformation that socio-technical transitions theory seeks to explain.

Socio-technical transitions theory, as developed by scholars such as Frank Geels, Johan Schot, and Adrian Smith, conceptualizes societal sectors as "socio-technical systems" composed of interacting elements including technology, infrastructure, markets, regulations, cultural meanings, and user practices (Geels, 2004). Change in these systems is understood as emerging from the interplay of dynamics at three levels: niches (protected spaces where radical innovations develop), regimes (the established set of rules, practices, and technologies that stabilize existing systems), and landscape (the broader exogenous context including macroeconomic trends, political ideologies, and environmental conditions) (Geels & Schot, 2007). From this perspective, environmental improvements are not simply about introducing new technologies but about transforming entire socio-technical systems. A transition to renewable energy, for example, requires not only cheaper and more efficient solar panels but also changes in electricity market regulations, grid infrastructure, financing mechanisms, skills and training systems, consumer behavior, and political coalitions (Smith, Stirling, & Berkhout, 2005). This systemic view helps explain why seemingly superior technologies often fail to diffuse rapidly, they are incompatible with the existing regime and face multiple barriers beyond their technical performance.

The socio-technical transitions framework is particularly useful for analyzing the Nigerian case studies because it draws attention to the multiple, interacting factors that shape environmental outcomes. The slow diffusion of solar PV in Nigerian cities, documented by

Unegbu et al. (2025), can be understood not merely as a problem of high costs (though that is important) but as a misalignment between a promising niche innovation and a regime characterized by unreliable grid electricity, entrenched generator supply chains, weak financing institutions, and limited technical skills. Successful transitions, from this perspective, require strategic interventions that address multiple regime dimensions simultaneously.

2.5 Environmental Justice Framework

The fourth theoretical pillar of this paper is environmental justice, a framework that originated in the United States in the 1980s in response to evidence that polluting facilities were disproportionately sited in communities of color and low-income neighborhoods (Bullard, 1990). Environmental justice scholarship has since evolved into a global movement and analytical framework that examines the distribution of environmental harms and benefits, the processes that produce these distributions, and the recognition and participation of affected communities in environmental decision-making (Schlosberg, 2007).

The environmental justice framework brings several critical insights to the analysis of environmental improvements. First, it emphasizes that environmental quality is not uniformly distributed, some communities bear greater environmental burdens and enjoy fewer environmental amenities than others (Mohai, Pellow, & Roberts, 2009). In the Nigerian context, this is evident in the Niger Delta, where oil-producing communities suffer severe pollution while deriving minimal benefits from oil extraction (UNEP, 2011), and in urban areas where low-income neighborhoods lack access to municipal waste services and are disproportionately affected by flooding and poor sanitation (Olowolafe, 2025).

Second, environmental justice scholarship draws attention to procedural justice, the extent to which affected communities can participate meaningfully in environmental decisions that affect them (Agyeman, Bullard, & Evans, 2003). Many environmental improvement initiatives in Nigeria have been criticized for their top-down, technocratic approaches that fail to engage local communities as partners or even to consult them adequately (Oluwaniyi, 2020). The Great Green Wall case study presented in this paper offers a contrast, explicitly integrating women's participation and empowerment into its design (WGC, 2025).

Third, environmental justice frameworks highlight issues of recognition, the need to respect and value diverse cultural traditions, knowledge systems, and relationships with the environment (Schlosberg, 2007). This is particularly important in Nigeria, where indigenous and traditional communities have developed sophisticated environmental management practices over centuries, practices that are often ignored or displaced by modernizing interventions (Akinbami, 2019).

2.6 Review of Empirical Literature

The empirical literature on environmental improvements in Nigeria spans multiple sectors and disciplines, reflecting the diversity of environmental challenges facing the country. This section reviews key studies in the areas of renewable energy, waste management, water resources, infrastructure, and ecological restoration, identifying gaps that this paper addresses. In the renewable energy sector, studies have documented Nigeria's enormous potential for solar, biomass, and small-scale hydropower, while also identifying persistent barriers to adoption (Sambo, 2010; Ohunakin, Adaramola, Oyewola, & Fagbenle, 2014). Agbo (2018) examined financing challenges for renewable energy projects, finding that high upfront costs, perceived risks, and limited access to credit constrain both household and commercial adoption. Nwankwo (2020) focused on the skills gap, documenting shortages of trained technicians for the setup and upkeep of renewable energy technologies across the

country. Unegbu et al. (2025) provide recent empirical data on renewable energy integration in urban building projects, quantifying energy savings and payback periods while also documenting persistent policy and implementation barriers.

Waste management has been extensively studied in Nigerian cities, with research documenting low collection rates, proliferation of open dumps, and environmental and health impacts (Ogwueleka, 2009; Imam, Mohammed, Wilson, & Cheeseman, 2008). Adewumi, Ojediran, and Ige (2019) examined household waste management practices in southwestern Nigeria, finding that inadequate municipal services drive self-management behaviors including open dumping and burning. Olowolafe (2025) advances this literature through systematic analysis of unofficial waste sites in Ado-Ekiti, applying ISWM principles to develop context-appropriate intervention strategies. The study's mixed-methods approach, combining geospatial analysis with stakeholder engagement, provides a model for integrated waste management research.

Water resource management research in Nigeria has documented widespread challenges including pollution, over-extraction, and climate-related variability (Akpabio, 2012). The Sokoto River restoration case documented by IW:LEARN (2025) represents one of the few well-documented examples of successful hydrological restoration in Nigeria, demonstrating the potential for science-based interventions to reverse environmental degradation while generating significant livelihood benefits. This case contributes to a small but growing literature on ecosystem restoration in African drylands (Turner, 2018).

Infrastructure innovation research has traditionally focused on technical performance, with limited attention to environmental dimensions. The waste-to-wealth road technology developed by Dr. Akinleye Monsuru Tunde and adopted by FERMA, documented by The Guardian (2025), represents an important departure, demonstrating how infrastructure development can simultaneously address waste management challenges and improve infrastructure performance. This case contributes to emerging literature on circular economy approaches in infrastructure (Pomponi & Moncaster, 2017).

Ecological restoration research in Nigeria has focused heavily on deforestation and desertification, with studies documenting rates of forest loss and evaluating reforestation programs (FAO, 2020). The Great Green Wall initiative has received considerable attention as a flagship African Union program, though implementation has been uneven across participating countries (Goffner, Sinare, & Gordon, 2019). The Women Environmental Programme's implementation documented by WGC (2025) provides rare evidence of effective community engagement within this large-scale program, demonstrating the potential for gender-sensitive approaches to achieve both environmental and social outcomes.

Industrial pollution research in Nigeria has been dominated by studies of the petroleum sector, particularly in the Niger Delta (UNEP, 2011; Kadafa, 2012). The Abertay University (2025) study of Kaduna refinery represents an important extension of this literature to the refining sector, applying sustainability assessment frameworks to evaluate the full range of environmental, economic, and social impacts. The study's development of a context-adapted sustainability assessment tool provides a methodological contribution with potential application to other industrial facilities.

2.7 Synthesis and Theoretical Framework Adopted

Drawing on the theoretical perspectives reviewed above, this paper adopts an integrated socio-technical systems approach to analyzing environmental improvements. This framework recognizes that environmental outcomes are shaped by the complex interplay of technological artifacts, institutional arrangements, economic incentives, cultural meanings, and social practices (Geels, 2004). It combines the technological and economic insights of Ecological

Modernization Theory with the governance and stakeholder focus of Integrated Sustainable Waste Management and the attention to equity and participation of Environmental Justice frameworks. The framework operationalizes this synthesis through four analytical dimensions applied to each case study: (1) the technological dimension, examining the nature of the intervention and its technical performance; (2) the institutional dimension, analyzing the governance arrangements, policies, and organizations involved; (3) the economic dimension, assessing costs, benefits, and financial sustainability; and (4) the social dimension, evaluating community engagement, equity impacts, and cultural appropriateness. By applying these four dimensions consistently across cases, the framework enables systematic comparison while remaining attentive to the unique context of each intervention.

3.0 Methodological Approach

3.1 Research Design

This paper employs a comparative case study research, which is particularly well-suited to research questions that seek to understand complex phenomena in their real-world contexts (Yin, 2018). The case study approach allows for in-depth examination of environmental improvement initiatives while attending to the multiple, interacting factors that shape their outcomes. By comparing cases across different sectors and geographic contexts, the design enables the identification of patterns, commonalities, and differences that can generate transferable insights (Eisenhardt, 1989).

3.2 Case Study Selection Criteria

Case studies were selected using a purposive sampling strategy designed to maximize variation across key dimensions of interest (Patton, 2015). The selection criteria included:

Criterion	Description	Application
Sectoral diversity	Cases span different environmental sectors	Renewable energy, waste, water, infrastructure, ecological restoration, industrial pollution
Geographic distribution	Cases from different regions of Nigeria	Southwest, North-central, Northwest, nationwide
Scale variation	Cases at different scales of intervention	Household/community, city, regional, national
Intervention type	Cases employing different strategies	Technology deployment, community engagement, policy reform, infrastructure innovation
Data availability	Cases with sufficient documentation	Peer-reviewed research, evaluation reports, project documentation

3.3 Data Sources and Collection Methods

This study relies on secondary data sources, including:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles reporting original research
- Doctoral theses and dissertations
- Government reports and policy documents
- International organization evaluations and project reports
- News media coverage of environmental initiatives
- Organizational websites and publications

For each case study, data were extracted on context, intervention design, implementation process, outcomes (environmental, economic, social), barriers encountered, and enabling factors. A uniform procedure was adopted for data extraction in order to maintain consistency throughout all cases.

3.4 Analytical Framework

Case analysis proceeded in two stages. First, each case was analyzed individually using the four-dimensional framework described in Section 2.7: technological, institutional, economic, and social dimensions. This within-case analysis generated a detailed understanding of each intervention's dynamics and outcomes.

Second, cross-case analysis was conducted using thematic synthesis techniques (Thomas & Harden, 2008). Common themes across cases were identified and coded, enabling the identification of recurrent barriers and enablers. Pattern-matching techniques were used to explore relationships between intervention characteristics and outcomes (Yin, 2018).

3.5 Ethical Considerations

As the research depends on publicly available or accessible through academic libraries, it does not raise the ethical issues associated with primary data collection involving human subjects. However, the study follows established academic integrity standards, which include proper and precise referencing, faithful representation of source material, and acknowledgment of limitations.

4.0 Case Study Analysis: Environmental Improvements in Nigeria

This section presents six case studies of environmental improvement initiatives in Nigeria. Each case follows a consistent structure: context, intervention, outcomes, and analysis using the four-dimensional framework.

4.1 Renewable Energy Integration in Urban Centers (Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt)

Context:

Nigeria's urban centers are characterized by a massive deficit in grid electricity supply, with average per capita consumption among the lowest in the world despite the country's status as a major oil and gas producer (NERC, 2021). This supply deficit has led to a near-universal reliance on small-scale diesel and petrol generators, with an estimated 22 million generators in operation nationwide (Olayemi, 2019). This "generator culture" is a major source of local air pollution, noise, and greenhouse gas emissions, while also representing a high economic cost for households and businesses. The environmental and health impacts are severe, with generator emissions contributing significantly to urban air pollution and associated respiratory illnesses (WHO, 2021).

Intervention:

A comprehensive study by Unegbu, Yawas, Dan-asabe, and Alabi (2025) evaluated the potential for integrating renewable energy solutions into building projects across Nigeria's three largest cities: Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt. The research employed a mixed-method approach including interviews with building professionals, site visits to 45 building projects, and energy performance simulations to assess solar PV, wind, and biomass waste-to-energy systems. The study aimed to quantify the energy savings, financial viability, and emission reductions associated with each technology and to identify barriers to adoption.

Outcomes and Analysis:

Technology	Energy Savings	Payback Period	Adoption Barriers
Solar PV	25-35%	6-8 years	High upfront cost, skills gap
Biomass	~20%	8-10 years	Feedstock logistics, technical complexity
Wind	<5%	>15 years	Low wind speeds, high capital cost

Source: Unegbu et al. (2025)

The findings strongly support the EMT perspective, demonstrating that technological substitution can yield significant environmental and economic dividends. Solar PV emerged as the most effective and viable technology, achieving energy savings of 25-35% with a payback period of 6-8 years, well within the typical lifespan of the technology (Unegbu et al., 2025). This viability is underpinned by Nigeria's high solar radiation levels, which average 5.5 kWh/m²/day nationally, among the highest in the world (Aluko, 2021). The success of solar PV, however, was highly dependent on access to initial capital and the availability of quality components.

Biomass systems showed significant potential, particularly in industrial and large commercial settings, with energy savings of approximately 20% (Unegbu et al., 2025). This aligns with Nigeria's large agricultural sector, which produces substantial organic waste, including cassava peels, palm kernel shells, and rice husks, that could be harnessed for energy generation (Adekunle, 2020). However, the logistical challenges of collecting and transporting biomass feedstocks, combined with the technical complexity of conversion technologies, have limited adoption.

Wind energy adoption remained very limited due to unfavorable wind speeds in most urban areas (typically below 4 m/s at hub heights) and high upfront costs, making it financially unviable without substantial subsidies (Usman, 2019; Unegbu et al., 2025). This finding underscores the importance of matching technology choices to local resource endowments.

Despite the clear technical potential, the study identified significant barriers that resonate with the critiques of a purely technocratic approach. High initial capital costs remain prohibitive for many households and businesses, with a typical 3kW solar PV system costing 1.5-2.5 million Naira (approximately $3,500-6,000), equivalent to several years' income for the average Nigerian household (Obasi, 2021). A lack of local technical expertise for installation and maintenance means that systems often underperform or fail prematurely, undermining confidence in the technology (Nwankwo, 2020). Inconsistent enforcement of existing renewable energy policies, including import duties on components and net metering regulations, creates uncertainty for investors and adopters (Bello, 2019; Unegbu et al., 2025).

From a socio-technical transitions perspective, this case demonstrates that while the technology (solar PV) is a ready-made solution at the niche level, its widespread diffusion is contingent on transforming the broader regime. This requires not only cheaper components but also skilled installers, appropriate financing products, stable policy frameworks, and consumer confidence, a multi-dimensional challenge that simple technology transfer cannot address.

4.2 Community-Based Management of Unofficial Waste Sites (Ado-Ekiti)

Context:

In many Nigerian cities, inadequate municipal waste services lead to the proliferation of unofficial waste disposal sites within residential areas. These sites, which emerge in response to service failures rather than through formal planning, pose severe health and environmental risks, contaminating soil and water, serving as breeding grounds for disease vectors, and contributing to flooding by blocking drainage channels (Ogwueleka, 2009). Ado-Ekiti, the capital of Ekiti State in southwestern Nigeria, exemplifies this challenge. With a population of approximately 350,000 and rapid urban growth, the city's formal waste management system collects less than 30% of generated waste, leaving residents to manage the remainder through self-help arrangements (Olowolafe, 2025).

Intervention:

Olowolafe's (2025) doctoral research at the University of Central Lancashire employed the Integrated Sustainable Waste Management framework to analyze the drivers of unofficial waste disposal sites and to model intervention strategies. The study used a comprehensive mixed-method approach, including geospatial mapping of 29 unofficial waste sites across the city, a resident survey of 225 households in proximity to the sites, semi-structured interviews with key informants from the Ekiti State Waste Management Authority (EKSWAMA) and community organizations, and focus group discussions in four neighborhoods.

Outcomes and Analysis:

Geospatial analysis revealed that unofficial waste sites were distributed across all socio-economic areas of the city, contradicting assumptions that such sites are confined to low-income neighborhoods (Olowolafe, 2025). This finding indicates that the problem is not merely a function of poverty or education but of systemic service failure that affects residents across the socio-economic spectrum.

The resident survey found that perceptions of waste collection services were overwhelmingly negative, with respondents characterizing services as irregular (37%) or rarely provided (35%) (Olowolafe, 2025). This service failure was identified as the primary driver for the creation and continued use of unofficial waste sites, residents turned to these sites not out of ignorance or environmental disregard but as a rational response to the absence of alternatives.

Waste Category	Percentage	Diversion Potential
Organic (food, peels, yard waste)	52%	High (composting)
Plastics	18%	High (recycling)
Glass/Ceramics	8%	Medium (recycling)
Paper/Cardboard	12%	High (recycling)
Other (textiles, e-waste, etc.)	10%	Variable

Source: Olowolafe (2025)

Waste characterization at the sites showed a high proportion of organic materials (52%) and recyclable materials including plastics, glass, and paper (38%), highlighting the significant potential for waste diversion through composting and recycling initiatives (Olowolafe, 2025). This finding challenges the common narrative that Nigeria's waste problem is primarily about disposal capacity and suggests instead that it is about failing to capture value from materials that could be productive resources.

Crucially, the study used the ISWM framework to develop a context-specific waste diversion model. This model explicitly identified the key stakeholders, EKSWAMA, community development associations, landlord associations, youth groups, waste pickers, and local NGOs, and mapped their roles, interests, and relationships. It proposed a multi-pronged intervention strategy including: community-scale composting schemes for organic waste; incentivized recycling through a deposit-refund system or exchange points; targeted education campaigns delivered through existing community structures; and enhanced formal collection services in underserved areas (Olowolafe, 2025).

This case powerfully illustrates the ISWM approach and serves as a critique of top-down, technology-only solutions. The problem in Ado-Ekiti was not a lack of waste collection trucks or an absence of landfill capacity, but a breakdown in the system of governance and service delivery that left residents without viable options for managing their waste. The proposed solution is fundamentally a social and institutional one: building a collaborative network of stakeholders, creating incentives for behavioral change, and restoring trust between the waste authority and the communities it serves. It champions a "bottom-up" approach where community ownership is central to success, while recognizing that formal

institutions must fulfill their core responsibilities.

4.3 Water Resource Restoration and Climate Adaptation (Sokoto State)

Context:

In parts of northern Nigeria, environmental degradation driven by climate variability, land use change, and water mismanagement has led to the desiccation of rivers and the disruption of livelihoods that depend on them. Sokoto State, in the semi-arid Sudan Savannah zone, has experienced significant hydrological changes over recent decades, with reduced rainfall and increased evaporation compounding the impacts of upstream water diversions (IW: LEARN, 2025). The diversion of the Sokoto River's course in the Wammako area had left downstream communities without water for irrigation and domestic use, forcing displacement, disrupting agricultural production, and causing significant hardship.

Intervention:

The "Improving Trends and Trust for Achieving Results" (ITTAS) pilot project, implemented by the Nigerian Hydrological Services Agency (NIHSA) with support from the Global Environment Facility and the United Nations Development Programme, intervened to diagnose and reverse the hydrological damage (IW: LEARN, 2025). The project involved comprehensive scientific studies including groundwater zone delineation using geophysical techniques, hydrological modeling to identify the causes of river diversion, water quality mapping, and community consultations to understand livelihood impacts and local knowledge. Based on this diagnostic work, the project implemented targeted physical interventions to restore hydrological connectivity and stabilize water flows. These included channel realignment to restore the original river course, construction of check dams to slow runoff and enhance groundwater recharge, and revegetation of riparian zones to reduce erosion and improve water retention (IW: LEARN, 2025).

Outcomes and Analysis:

The project achieved significant ecological and social improvements by combining scientific assessment with targeted physical interventions and sustained community engagement.

Indicator	Before Intervention	After Intervention	Change
River flow (months/year)	2-3 months	8-9 months	+300%
Area under dry-season irrigation	0 hectares	>10,000 hectares	Significant increase
Groundwater levels	Declining	Stable/rising	Reversed trend
Displaced households	~500	<50	90% reduction
Agricultural productivity	Very low	Restored to historical levels	Significant increase

Source: IW: LEARN (2025)

Hydrological restoration efforts successfully restored the balance between surface and groundwater in the affected area. The Sokoto River and its tributaries, previously dry for much of the year, now experience more stable seasonal flows, with flow duration increasing from 2-3 months to 8-9 months annually (IW: LEARN, 2025). This hydrological recovery has enabled dry-season irrigation on over 10,000 hectares of farmland, dramatically increasing agricultural productivity and food security. Groundwater levels, which had been declining due to reduced recharge, have stabilized and in some areas begun to rise, providing a buffer against future dry periods.

The restoration of water resources allowed displaced families to return to their communities

and resume farming activities. A formal letter of gratitude from the Wammako community to NIHSA, documented by IW: LEARN (2025), underscored the profound social impact of the environmental improvements, with community members describing the return of water as nothing less than a restoration of life itself.

The project integrated community engagement and training throughout its implementation, building local capacity for sustainable water management. Community members were trained in simple hydrological monitoring techniques, sustainable irrigation practices, and riparian zone management to reduce agricultural pollution and prevent future watercourse diversions (IW: LEARN, 2025). This investment in human and social capital enhances the long-term sustainability of the intervention. This case study demonstrates that environmental improvements can be highly effective when they are grounded in sound scientific analysis and directly targeted at restoring critical ecosystem services. The success was not just in the technical act of restoring water flow, impressive though that was, but in the resulting livelihood restoration, agricultural productivity gains, and enhanced food security. It highlights the deep interconnection between environmental health and human well-being, and the importance of community participation in both implementing and maintaining environmental gains.

4.4 Innovative Waste-to-Wealth Infrastructure (Federal Road Projects)

Context:

Nigeria faces a dual crisis of massive plastic waste pollution and poorly performing road infrastructure. Plastic waste generation is estimated at 2.5 million tons annually, with only about 12% collected and even less recycled, leaving vast quantities to accumulate in landfills, waterways, and the environment (Adebiyi, 2022). Simultaneously, Nigeria's road network, the largest in West Africa with over 195,000 kilometers, suffers from premature failure under heavy traffic loads, inadequate drainage, and harsh tropical conditions, requiring frequent and costly maintenance (Federal Ministry of Works, 2021). Traditional road construction materials, primarily imported bitumen and virgin aggregates, are costly, energy-intensive, and environmentally taxing.

Intervention:

Dr. Akinleye Monsuru Tunde, a civil engineer at Adeleke University, pioneered a "waste-to-wealth" concept that converts discarded plastics and agricultural residues into modified asphalt mixtures for pavement construction. His doctoral research, supported by a TETFund National Research Fund grant, focused on the performance characteristics of asphalt modified with pyrolyzed plastic bottles and agricultural waste ash (The Guardian, 2025). The research involved extensive laboratory testing of modified asphalt mixtures, including assessments of stability, flow, durability, and resistance to deformation under varying temperature and loading conditions. Following successful laboratory validation, the technology moved from research to practical application through partnership with the Federal Road Maintenance Agency (FERMA), which has adopted the findings for road repair and rehabilitation projects across multiple states (The Guardian, 2025).

Outcomes and Analysis:

This case represents a circular economy approach to environmental improvement, turning a waste liability into a resource for infrastructure development while simultaneously improving infrastructure performance.

Performance Metric	Conventional Asphalt	Waste-Modified Asphalt	Improvement
Marshall Stability (kN)	8.5	12.2	+43%
Flow (mm)	3.2	2.8	-12.5%
Air voids (%)	4.2	3.8	-9.5%
Moisture susceptibility	Moderate	Low	Significant improvement
Expected service life	5-7 years	8-10 years	+40-60%

Source: The Guardian (2025)

The technology directly addresses the environmental crisis of plastic waste by diverting it from landfills and waterways, with each kilometer of road rehabilitation consuming approximately 1.5-2 tons of waste plastic (The Guardian, 2025). At scale, this could represent a significant contribution to plastic waste management, creating economic value from a material that currently imposes environmental costs.

Furthermore, the modified pavements demonstrate superior engineering performance, with increased stability, improved resistance to deformation, and enhanced durability compared to conventional asphalt (The Guardian, 2025). A senior FERMA engineer confirmed that the waste-to-wealth innovations result in pavements that are "stronger, cheaper, and kinder to the environment" (The Guardian, 2025). The extended service life reduces the frequency of maintenance interventions, lowering lifecycle costs and reducing the carbon footprint of road infrastructure. The innovation has been formally adopted by FERMA, which has integrated the findings into its technical specifications for road rehabilitation projects. This institutional adoption, facilitated by the agency's participation in the research process, represents a successful model of academic research translating into national policy and practice (The Guardian, 2025). The funding from TETFund, Nigeria's Tertiary Education Trust Fund, was critical in supporting the research and development phase, demonstrating the importance of dedicated research funding for homegrown innovation.

This case provides a powerful example of EMT in action, where technological innovation creates a win-win scenario for the economy and the environment. It also highlights the critical role of the state as an enabler and early adopter of green technologies, FERMA's willingness to test and adopt the innovation was essential to moving from laboratory to practice. The success hinges on bridging the gap between research and implementation, creating a local solution to a local problem with global relevance.

4.5 Large-Scale Ecological Reclamation: The Great Green Wall (Northern States)

Context:

The 11 northern states of Nigeria, including Sokoto, Kebbi, Katsina, Zamfara, Jigawa, Yobe, Borno, Bauchi, Gombe, Adamawa, and Kano, face some of the highest desertification rates in Africa, driven by land degradation, deforestation, overgrazing, and climate change (FAO, 2020). The Sahara Desert is advancing southward at an estimated rate of 0.6 km per year, threatening agricultural land, water resources, and livelihoods. This process affects an estimated 40 million Nigerians, exacerbating poverty, food insecurity, and conflict over diminishing resources (Goffner et al., 2019).

Intervention:

Nigeria's Great Green Wall (GGW) project is a national initiative aligned with the African Union's Great Green Wall for the Sahara and the Sahel Initiative, aiming to combat desertification through a mosaic of reforestation, sustainable land management, and community development interventions (WGC, 2025). A distinctive component of this project,

implemented by the Women Environmental Programme (WEP) with support from international partners, focuses specifically on training and empowering women in local communities.

Over 110 women across the 11 northern states have been trained to construct 1,500 energy-efficient cook stoves from locally available materials (clay, sand, and agricultural waste). These women are also actively involved in tree planting and nursery management along the planned 1,500 km long, 2 km wide green belt (WGC, 2025). The intervention integrates environmental goals (reduced deforestation, carbon sequestration) with social objectives (women's empowerment, livelihood diversification, health improvement).

Outcomes and Analysis:

This case study is remarkable for its integration of environmental goals with gender empowerment and social equity, demonstrating that environmental improvements can be a powerful vehicle for advancing social justice.

Impact Indicator	Value	Significance
Women trained	110+	Direct beneficiaries with new skills
Cookstoves constructed	1,500+	Households with improved cooking technology
Fuelwood consumption reduction	80% per household	Reduced pressure on forests
CO2 emission reduction	~3 tons/year per stove	Climate change mitigation
Time saved on fuelwood collection	2-3 hours/day per woman	Time for income generation, childcare, rest
Indoor air pollution reduction	Significant	Reduced respiratory illness

Source: WGC (2025)

The use of the energy-efficient cook stoves reduces fuelwood consumption and CO2 emissions by an estimated 80% per household, significantly reducing pressure on local forests and woodlands (WGC, 2025). Given that fuelwood collection is a primary driver of deforestation in the Sahel, this intervention directly addresses a key environmental challenge while contributing to climate change mitigation.

The project empowers women with technical skills, stove construction, nursery management, tree planting, creating new leadership roles within their communities. Trained women become not only beneficiaries but also agents of change, sharing their knowledge with neighbors and demonstrating alternative practices (WGC, 2025). This ripple effect amplifies the project's impact beyond direct participants.

The time women previously spent collecting fuelwood, typically 2-3 hours daily, is freed for other activities including income generation, childcare, and rest. This reduction in unpaid care work has significant implications for women's economic empowerment and well-being (WGC, 2025). Additionally, the replacement of traditional open fires with enclosed cookstoves dramatically reduces indoor air pollution, a major cause of respiratory illness and premature death among women and children in developing countries (WHO, 2021).

The use of locally available materials, clay, sand, agricultural waste, and the development of a simple, replicable technical training module make this approach highly affordable and scalable (WGC, 2025). The total cost per stove is minimal, consisting primarily of training and modest materials, and the technology can be maintained and repaired by users without external support.

The GGW project, as implemented by WEP, is a quintessential example of a socio-technical intervention. The technical artifact, the clean cookstove, is important, but its success is

predicated on the social process of training women, using local materials to ensure affordability and replicability, and aligning the intervention with the community's needs and capacities. It demonstrates that environmental improvements can be designed to advance multiple objectives simultaneously, achieving environmental gains while promoting gender equity and improving health outcomes.

4.6 Industrial Pollution and Sustainability Assessment (Kaduna Refinery)

Context:

Industrial operations, especially within the oil and gas industry, constitute a significant contributor to environmental pollution in Nigeria. The Kaduna Refinery and Petrochemical Company (KRPC), established in 1980 with a designed capacity of 110,000 barrels per day, has been operational for over four decades, processing crude oil to produce fuels, lubricants, and petrochemicals (Abertay University, 2025). Its host communities have long complained of air and water pollution impacting their health, agriculture, and quality of life, while the refinery remains a significant source of employment and economic activity in the region.

Intervention:

A doctoral study by Abertay University (2025) conducted an integrated environmental impact and sustainability assessment of the Kaduna refinery's operations, moving beyond traditional environmental impact assessment to evaluate environmental, economic, and social sustainability dimensions. The research employed a modified "SILENT" (Social, Institutional, Legal, Economic, Natural, Technical) model adapted to the Nigerian context, combined with primary data collection including questionnaires administered to 385 affected residents, focus group discussions in host communities, and in-depth interviews with refinery operations staff and management.

Outcomes and Analysis:

This study provides a sobering look at the complexities of improving environmental performance in existing heavy industries, where environmental impacts are deeply entangled with economic benefits and social dependencies.

Sustainability Dimension	Impact Assessment	Key Findings
Environmental	Significant negative impact	Air pollution, water contamination, health complaints
Economic	Significant positive impact	Employment, local business development, multiplier effects
Social	Mixed/positive	Community development projects, but inequitable distribution
Institutional	Weak	Limited regulatory oversight, inadequate grievance mechanisms

Source: Abertay University (2025)

The findings reveal a classic sustainability trade-off. The refinery had a significant positive impact on economic indicators, providing direct employment for approximately 3,500 workers and indirect employment for many more through contractor networks and local businesses serving the refinery workforce (Abertay University, 2025). The refinery's community development programs, though criticized as inadequate, provided some infrastructure and social services in host communities.

However, these economic and social benefits were accompanied by significant negative environmental impacts. Residents reported persistent air pollution characterized by odors,

particulate deposition, and respiratory symptoms. Water quality monitoring showed elevated levels of hydrocarbons and heavy metals in streams and wells near the refinery, consistent with historical complaints (Abertay University, 2025). Focus group participants described impacts on agriculture, with reduced crop yields and concerns about the safety of produce grown in the area.

The study revealed weak institutional oversight, with infrequent regulatory inspections, limited enforcement of environmental standards, and inadequate grievance mechanisms for affected communities to raise concerns (Abertay University, 2025). This institutional vacuum contributes to the persistence of environmental impacts despite decades of complaints.

The key output of the study was a novel sustainability assessment framework, adapted for the Nigerian context through integration of GIS-based spatial analysis to visualize temporal and spatial variations in impacts. This framework is designed to help stakeholders, including regulators, company management, and community representatives, understand the full lifecycle sustainability issues of the refinery and to identify priority areas for improvement (Abertay University, 2025). By integrating environmental, economic, and social data into a single visual and analytical framework, the tool supports more informed, transparent decision-making.

This case highlights the limitations of simple technological fixes in complex industrial settings. The refinery is a deeply embedded socio-economic asset, providing livelihoods for thousands and supporting regional economic activity, making its closure an impractical and socially costly solution. The pathway to environmental improvement lies not in eliminating the facility but in using sophisticated assessment tools to create transparency, hold operators accountable, negotiate incremental improvements, and invest in pollution control technologies. The framework developed here is fundamentally a tool for governance, enabling a more structured dialogue about how to reduce environmental negatives while preserving, and ideally enhancing, economic positives.

5.0 Cross-Case Analysis and Discussion

5.1 Comparative Analysis of Case Studies

The six case studies presented in Chapter 4 span different sectors, scales, and intervention types, yet several common themes emerge when they are analyzed comparatively. Table 8 provides a summary comparison across the four analytical dimensions.

Case Study	Technological Dimension	Institutional Dimension	Economic Dimension	Social Dimension	Overall Outcome
Renewable Energy	Mature technology (solar PV) available	Weak policy enforcement, skills gap	High upfront cost, long payback	Limited engagement, elite adoption	Mixed/Constrained
Waste Management	Simple technologies (composting, recycling)	Weak service delivery, unclear roles	Low-cost interventions	Strong community engagement	Promising model
Water Restoration	Appropriate hydrological interventions	Strong agency leadership (NIHSA)	High-benefit, moderate-cost	Deep community involvement	Highly successful
Waste-to-Roads	Innovative technology, proven	Institutional champion (FERMA)	Cost-saving, value creation	Limited social dimension	Successful adoption
Great Green Wall	Appropriate simple technology	NGO facilitation, community-based	Low-cost, high-benefit	Strong gender focus	Highly successful
Industrial Pollution	Complex, contested	Weak regulation	Trade-offs, contested	Affected communities	Persistent challenge

5.2 Barriers to Environmental Improvements

Across the six case studies, several common barriers to environmental improvement emerge. Table 9 synthesizes these barriers thematically.

Barrier Category	Specific Barriers	Case Study Evidence
Policy and Governance	Inconsistent enforcement, conflicting mandates, lack of long-term planning	Renewable Energy (Bello, 2019); Industrial Pollution (Abertay, 2025)
Financial and Economic	High upfront costs, limited access to finance, perceived risk	Renewable Energy (Obasi, 2021); Waste Management (Olowolafe, 2025)
Technical and Infrastructure	Skills gaps, lack of local manufacturing, poor baseline infrastructure	Renewable Energy (Nwankwo, 2020); Multiple cases
Institutional and Coordination	Fragmentation, poor coordination, unclear roles	Waste Management (Olowolafe, 2025); Industrial Pollution
Social and Cultural	Limited awareness, resistance to change, insufficient consultation	Renewable Energy; Waste Management (pre-intervention)
Political Economy	Vested interests, corruption, elite capture	Implied in Industrial Pollution; Renewable Energy

The persistence of these barriers across diverse sectors suggests that they are systemic features of the Nigerian context rather than problems specific to individual interventions. Policy inconsistency, for example, affects renewable energy investors and waste management agencies alike. The skills gap constrains both solar PV deployment and industrial pollution monitoring. This systemic nature of barriers implies that solutions must also be systemic, piecemeal, sector-specific fixes are unlikely to succeed in isolation.

5.3 Enablers of Environmental Improvements

Just as barriers recur across cases, so too do enablers, factors that distinguish successful interventions from those that struggle. Table 10 synthesizes these enablers.

Enabler Category	Specific Enablers	Case Study Evidence
Institutional Champions	Dedicated agencies or leaders driving change	Water Restoration (NIHSA); Waste-to-Roads (FERMA)
Community Engagement	Genuine participation, local ownership	Water Restoration; Great Green Wall; Waste Management (proposed)
Appropriate Technology	Technology matched to local conditions and capacity	Great Green Wall (local materials); Waste-to-Roads
Multi-Stakeholder Coordination	Effective collaboration across sectors and levels	Water Restoration; Great Green Wall (WEP-NGO)
Financial Innovation	Creative financing models, patient capital	Waste-to-Roads (TETFund research grant)
Policy Alignment	Interventions aligned with policy frameworks	Waste-to-Roads (FERMA adoption)
Gender Sensitivity	Explicit attention to gender dynamics	Great Green Wall (women's focus)

The successful cases, water restoration, waste-to-roads, and Great Green Wall, all demonstrate multiple enablers operating in concert. The water restoration project combined strong institutional leadership from NIHSA with deep community engagement and

appropriate technology. The waste-to-roads innovation benefited from a dedicated institutional champion in FERMA, policy alignment, and research funding. The Great Green Wall intervention integrated appropriate technology, strong community engagement, and explicit gender sensitivity. Conversely, cases with mixed or constrained outcomes, renewable energy and industrial pollution, lack several of these enablers. Renewable energy adoption proceeds despite weak policy enforcement, limited financing, and skills gaps, rather than being supported by enabling conditions. Industrial pollution persists in an institutional vacuum with weak regulation and limited community voice.

5.4 The Role of Technology vs. Social Factors

A central theme of this paper has been the interplay between technological and social factors in environmental improvements. The case studies provide strong evidence that technology alone is insufficient, and that social factors are often decisive in determining outcomes.

In the renewable energy case, the technology (solar PV) is mature, reliable, and well-suited to Nigerian conditions. Yet adoption remains constrained not by technical limitations but by social, economic, and institutional factors: high costs relative to household incomes, limited access to financing, a shortage of trained installers, and policy uncertainty. The technology is ready; the socio-technical regime is not.

In the waste management case, the proposed solutions involve simple, well-understood technologies, composting, basic recycling, improved collection. The challenge is not technological but institutional and social: rebuilding trust between waste authority and communities, clarifying roles and responsibilities, creating incentives for participation.

The Great Green Wall case makes the point most explicitly. The technology, an energy-efficient cookstove, is simple and low-cost. Its success lies in the social process of training women, using local materials, building community ownership, and aligning with existing practices and needs. The technology is almost incidental to the social innovation that makes it work. These findings support the socio-technical systems approach adopted in this paper. Environmental improvements are not achieved by introducing better technologies into a vacuum, but by reshaping the entire system, institutions, practices, skills, financing, policies, within which technologies are embedded. The most successful interventions are those that attend to this systemic complexity.

5.5 Policy-Implementation Gaps

A persistent theme across multiple case studies is the gap between policy intentions and implementation realities. Nigeria has numerous environmental policies, regulations, and international commitments, on renewable energy, waste management, climate change, and desertification, yet on-the-ground implementation lags far behind.

The renewable energy case illustrates this gap clearly. Policies exist to promote solar PV adoption, including import duty waivers, feed-in tariffs, and renewable energy targets, yet implementation is inconsistent, enforcement is weak, and investors face uncertainty (Bello, 2019; Unegbu et al., 2025). The result is that the policy framework, which should enable adoption, instead creates confusion and risk.

The industrial pollution case reveals a similar dynamic. Environmental standards exist, regulations are on the books, and NESREA has a mandate to enforce them. Yet in practice, monitoring is infrequent, enforcement is rare, and facilities operate with minimal oversight (Abertay University, 2025). The gap between law and practice leaves affected communities without recourse and perpetuates environmental harms.

The waste management case shows policy-implementation gaps at the local level. EKSWAMA has a legal mandate and a service charter, yet residents experience services as

irregular or nonexistent (Olowolafe, 2025). The gap between what the agency is supposed to provide and what it actually delivers drives the proliferation of unofficial waste sites.

Closing these policy-implementation gaps requires attention not just to policy design but to implementation capacity: adequately resourced agencies, skilled personnel, functioning monitoring systems, accountability mechanisms, and political will to enforce standards against powerful interests.

5.6 Gender Dimensions in Environmental Improvements

The Great Green Wall case study highlights the importance of explicit attention to gender dynamics in environmental improvement initiatives. By focusing on women as both beneficiaries and agents of change, this intervention achieved environmental gains while simultaneously advancing gender equity and women's empowerment.

The renewable energy and waste management cases, by contrast, are largely gender-blind. Neither explicitly considers how men and women might be differently affected by interventions or how gender dynamics might shape adoption and outcomes. This omission may have significant consequences. Women and men have different roles in energy use and waste management, different access to resources and information, and different decision-making power within households and communities. Interventions that ignore these differences may be less effective and may inadvertently reinforce existing inequalities.

The industrial pollution case reveals that environmental harms are not gender-neutral either. Women, as primary caregivers and managers of household water and food, may be disproportionately affected by pollution that contaminates water sources or reduces agricultural productivity. Yet grievance mechanisms and decision-making processes rarely account for these gendered dimensions (Abertay University, 2025).

These findings suggest that environmental improvement initiatives should routinely incorporate gender analysis, examining how men and women are differently positioned in relation to environmental challenges and interventions, and design accordingly. The Great Green Wall case demonstrates that this is not only possible but can enhance both environmental and social outcomes.

6.0 Policy Recommendations and Future Directions

Based on the synthesis of case study findings and cross-case analysis, this section proposes a set of evidence-based policy recommendations for advancing environmental improvements in Nigeria and similar developing country contexts.

6.1 Strengthening the Governance Ecosystem

Environmental improvements require not just policies but functional governance systems capable of implementing them. Recommendations for strengthening the governance ecosystem include:

Enhance Regulatory Enforcement Capacity: Invest in the capacity of regulatory agencies like NESREA, state environmental protection agencies, and sectoral regulators to conduct regular monitoring, enforce compliance, and sanction violations. This requires adequate funding, trained personnel, and political insulation from vested interests (Abertay University, 2025).

Establish Clear Accountability Mechanisms: Create transparent grievance mechanisms for affected communities to report environmental harms and seek redress, with clear timelines for response and independent oversight (Olowolafe, 2025).

Improve Inter-Agency Coordination: Formalize coordination mechanisms among ministries and agencies with environmental responsibilities, including environment, water

resources, agriculture, works, and health, to break down silos and enable integrated approaches (Ecological Project Office, 2025).

Mainstream Environmental Considerations: Integrate environmental sustainability criteria into all major infrastructure and development projects from conception, requiring environmental impact assessment not as an afterthought but as a core design consideration.

6.2 Innovative Financing Mechanisms

The high upfront costs of many environmental improvements constrain adoption, particularly for households and small businesses. Innovative financing mechanisms can address this barrier:

Blended Finance Facilities: Establish blended finance vehicles that use public and donor funds to de-risk private investment in renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, and green infrastructure, attracting commercial capital for scaled implementation (Unegbu et al., 2025).

Targeted Subsidies and Incentives: Design subsidy programs for clean technologies (solar PV, improved cookstoves) that are targeted to reach low-income households and small businesses, ensuring that environmental improvements benefit all segments of society (WGC, 2025).

Green Credit Lines: Work with commercial banks and microfinance institutions to develop dedicated green credit products with favorable terms, lower interest rates, longer tenors, reduced collateral requirements, for environmental improvement investments (Agbo, 2018).

Results-Based Financing: Pilot results-based financing approaches where payments are tied to verified environmental outcomes, shifting risk from implementers to funders and incentivizing performance.

6.3 Human Capital Development

The skills gap identified across multiple case studies requires sustained investment in human capital:

Curriculum Reform: Partner with universities, polytechnics, and technical colleges to develop and update curricula in renewable energy installation and maintenance, sustainable waste management, green construction, environmental monitoring, and related fields (Nwankwo, 2020).

Vocational Training Programs: Scale up community-based vocational training programs modeled on the Great Green Wall initiative, equipping local populations, particularly women and youth, with practical skills for participation in the green economy (WGC, 2025).

Professional Certification: Establish professional certification schemes for renewable energy installers, waste management operators, and environmental auditors to ensure quality and build consumer confidence.

Knowledge Exchange: Facilitate south-south and north-south knowledge exchange, enabling Nigerian professionals and practitioners to learn from experiences in other countries facing similar environmental challenges.

6.4 Community Engagement and Participation

The case studies consistently demonstrate that community engagement is critical to intervention success. Recommendations include:

Mandate Participatory Approaches: Make meaningful community engagement a mandatory and funded component of all major environmental projects, with clear requirements for consultation, participation, and feedback (Olowolafe, 2025).

Co-Design Interventions: Adopt participatory design approaches where communities are involved in identifying problems, designing solutions, and planning implementation, ensuring

that interventions are culturally appropriate, address locally-perceived needs, and leverage local knowledge.

Recognize and Support Informal Sector: In waste management and other sectors where informal workers play significant roles, design interventions that recognize, support, and integrate informal actors rather than displacing them (Olowolafe, 2025).

Build Community Ownership: Structure interventions to build community ownership and responsibility for ongoing maintenance and monitoring, reducing dependence on external actors and enhancing long-term sustainability (IW:LEARN, 2025).

6.5 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Transparency

Improved monitoring and evaluation can enhance learning, accountability, and effectiveness:

Adopt Integrated Assessment Frameworks: Promote the use of multi-dimensional assessment tools, such as the sustainability framework developed for the Kaduna refinery, that track environmental, economic, and social outcomes simultaneously (Abertay University, 2025).

Invest in Environmental Monitoring Infrastructure: Expand and maintain networks for monitoring air and water quality, deforestation, land degradation, and other environmental indicators, generating the data needed for evidence-based policy.

Open Environmental Data: Make environmental monitoring data publicly accessible in user-friendly formats, empowering civil society, researchers, and communities to hold polluters and policymakers accountable.

Conduct and Publish Evaluations: Ensure that environmental projects include rigorous evaluation components and that findings, including failures and lessons learned, are published and disseminated to inform future interventions.

6.6 Sector-Specific Recommendations

In addition to cross-cutting recommendations, each sector warrants targeted actions:

Renewable Energy: Address the skills gap through accelerated training programs; expand financing mechanisms including pay-as-you-go solar and green mortgages; enforce existing policies consistently; and integrate renewable energy requirements into building codes (Unegbu et al., 2025).

Waste Management: Strengthen municipal waste services to restore public trust; develop markets for recyclables through procurement preferences and industry partnerships; support community-based composting and recycling enterprises; and clarify institutional responsibilities across levels of government (Olowolafe, 2025).

Water Resources: Invest in hydrological monitoring and assessment infrastructure; protect riparian zones and watersheds through land use regulation; promote water-efficient irrigation technologies; and strengthen community-based water management institutions (IW:LEARN, 2025).

Infrastructure: Mainstream circular economy principles in infrastructure planning and procurement; support research and development into local materials and technologies; and create pathways for research findings to inform practice (The Guardian, 2025).

Ecological Restoration: Scale up community-based restoration approaches that generate local livelihoods while restoring ecosystems; integrate reforestation with sustainable agriculture and agroforestry; and ensure that women and other marginalized groups are central participants (WGC, 2025).

Industrial Pollution: Strengthen regulatory enforcement and monitoring; require regular public disclosure of emissions and environmental performance; invest in pollution control technologies at major facilities; and establish effective grievance mechanisms for affected

communities (Abertay University, 2025).

7.0 Conclusion

7.1 Summary of Findings

This seminar paper has traversed the diverse terrain of environmental improvements in Nigeria, examining six case studies across renewable energy, waste management, water resources, infrastructure, ecological restoration, and industrial pollution. The evidence presented supports several key conclusions. First, meaningful environmental improvements are achievable in the Nigerian context, as demonstrated by the successful restoration of the Sokoto River, the adoption of waste-to-roads technology by FERMA, and the community-based reforestation and cookstove initiatives of the Great Green Wall. These successes demonstrate that with appropriate design, committed institutions, and community engagement, environmental gains can be realized even in challenging contexts. Second, success is not primarily a function of technological sophistication. The most successful interventions, the Sokoto River restoration and the Great Green Wall women's initiative, employed relatively simple, appropriate technologies. What distinguished them was the quality of their institutional arrangements and community engagement. The renewable energy case, by contrast, shows that even mature, well-suited technology struggles to achieve scale when institutional and social conditions are unfavorable. Third, environmental improvements are intrinsically multi-dimensional. The case studies demonstrate that interventions can generate environmental gains alongside economic benefits (cost savings, job creation, enhanced productivity) and social improvements (health gains, women's empowerment, community cohesion). The most successful interventions explicitly design for these multiple benefits. Fourth, systemic barriers, weak policy enforcement, skills gaps, financing constraints, institutional fragmentation, recur across sectors, suggesting that piecemeal, sector-specific approaches are insufficient. Addressing these barriers requires systemic responses: strengthened governance, innovative financing, human capital development, and enhanced community engagement. Fifth, policy-implementation gaps remain pervasive. Nigeria has numerous environmental policies on paper, but implementation lags far behind. Closing these gaps requires attention to implementation capacity, accountability mechanisms, and political will.

7.2 Contributions to Knowledge

This paper makes several contributions to academic knowledge and policy practice. Theoretically, it advances the application of socio-technical systems thinking to environmental improvement in developing countries, integrating insights from ecological modernization theory, integrated sustainable waste management, and environmental justice into a unified analytical framework. This framework, with its four analytical dimensions, technological, institutional, economic, and social, provides a tool for systematic analysis of environmental interventions that is attentive to complexity and context.

Empirically, the paper synthesizes recent research across six diverse sectors, much of it not widely accessible to the international research community. By bringing these cases together within a common analytical framework, the paper enables comparative insights that individual case studies cannot generate independently.

Methodologically, the paper demonstrates the value of comparative case study analysis for understanding complex, multi-dimensional phenomena like environmental improvement. The identification of recurrent barriers and enablers across diverse contexts provides a basis for transferable insights that can inform policy and practice.

7.3 Limitations of the Study

This study has a number of limitations that must be recognized. Firstly, it is based solely on secondary data and does not involve any primary data gathering. The quality of analysis is therefore dependent on the quality of the source materials, which varies across cases.

Second, the case studies were selected through purposive sampling designed to maximize variation, not statistical representativeness. The findings are not statistically generalizable to all environmental initiatives in Nigeria, though they offer analytical generalizability through the identification of patterns and mechanisms (Yin, 2018).

Third, the temporal scope is limited to approximately the last decade. Longer-term historical analysis might reveal different patterns or trajectories of environmental change.

Fourth, the paper focuses on documented initiatives, which may be biased toward successes or toward interventions with adequate evaluation resources. Undocumented or under-evaluated initiatives, which may be the majority, are not represented.

Fifth, the analysis is conducted at the level of individual case studies and cross-case comparison, not at the level of national environmental trends or aggregate outcomes. It cannot therefore assess whether the cumulative effect of these and other initiatives is sufficient to reverse Nigeria's overall environmental degradation.

7.4 Directions for Future Research

The findings of this paper suggest several directions for future research. First, longitudinal studies of environmental improvement initiatives are needed to understand sustainability over time. Do the gains documented in these case studies persist, and under what conditions? What happens when project funding ends or institutional champions move on?

Second, comparative research across countries could identify how national-level factors, governance quality, economic structure, political systems, shape the possibilities for environmental improvement. Nigeria's experience could be compared with other African countries facing similar challenges. Third, research is needed on the political economy of environmental improvement: how vested interests, corruption, and elite capture constrain or enable environmental gains. The industrial pollution case hints at these dynamics, but systematic analysis is lacking. Fourth, the gender dimensions of environmental improvement warrant much more attention. How do men and women experience environmental harms differently? How do gender dynamics shape participation in and benefits from environmental initiatives? What strategies are effective in ensuring that environmental improvements advance rather than undermine gender equity? Fifth, research on scaling successful interventions is critically needed. The Great Green Wall women's initiative, the waste-to-roads technology, and the Sokoto River restoration have all demonstrated success at pilot or modest scale. What would it take to scale them to reach millions of households or thousands of kilometers of roads? Sixth, research on policy-implementation gaps could illuminate why policies fail to translate into practice and what interventions might close these gaps. This requires attention to bureaucratic politics, resource allocation, accountability mechanisms, and the everyday practices of frontline implementers. Nigeria stands at a critical juncture, with its environmental challenges intensifying even as opportunities for improvement emerge through technological innovation, policy development, and community mobilization. The path forward requires not more of the same but a fundamental rethinking of how environmental improvements are conceived, designed, and implemented. This research has attempt to support that rethinking by drawing lessons from recent experience and pointing toward more integrated, systemic, and socially-attuned approaches. The task ahead is not merely to manage environmental decline but to actively build a better environment, and in doing so, to build a better society

References

Abertay University. (2025). *An integrated assessment of environmental impact and sustainability of oil refinery development and operations in a developing country: A case study of Kaduna refinery in Nigeria* [Doctoral thesis, Abertay University]. RKE Abertay.

Adebiyi, F. M. (2022). Plastic waste management in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities for circular economy. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management, 24*(3), 891-904.

Adekola, P. O., Fischbacher-Smith, D., & Fischbacher-Smith, M. (2017). Environmental justice in the Niger Delta: A framework for analysis. *Local Environment, 22*(8), 987-1003.

Adekunle, O. (2020). Biomass energy in Nigeria: Current status and future potential. *Energy Policy Journal, 19*(3), 89-107.

Adewumi, J. R., Ojediran, J. O., & Ige, C. C. (2019). Household waste management practices in southwestern Nigeria. *Waste Management & Research, 37*(4), 362-371.

Agbo, C. (2018). Financing renewable energy projects in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities. *Energy Economics Review, 15*(1), 99-114.

Agyeman, J., Bullard, R. D., & Evans, B. (Eds.). (2003). *Just sustainabilities: Development in an unequal world*. MIT Press.

Akinbami, J. F. K. (2019). Poverty and environmental degradation in Nigeria: A critical assessment. *Environmental Development, 30*, 45-56.

Akpabio, E. M. (2012). Water supply and sanitation services sector in Nigeria: The policy reform and practice. *International Journal of Water Resources Development, 28*(3), 519-539.

Aluko, T. (2021). Solar energy potential in Nigeria: Opportunities and challenges. *Renewable Energy Review, 18*(1), 201-213.

Baker, S. (2007). Sustainable development as symbolic commitment: Declaratory politics and the seductive appeal of ecological modernisation in the European Union. *Environmental Politics, 16*(2), 297-317.

Bello, A. (2019). Policy barriers to renewable energy adoption in Nigerian buildings. *Energy Policy Journal, 23*(2), 110-123.

Bullard, R. D. (1990). *Dumping in Dixie: Race, class, and environmental quality*. Westview Press.

Buttel, F. H. (2000). Ecological modernization as social theory. *Geoforum, 31*(1), 57-65.

Ecological Project Office. (2025, April 15). *EPO champions ecological sustainability through strategic intervention projects*. Federal Ministry of Information and National Orientation. https://fmino.gov.ng/epo-champions-ecological-sustainability-through-strategic-intervention-projects/

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review, 14*(4), 532-550.

Eze, S. (2022). Urbanization and energy challenges in Nigeria: A focus on sustainable development. *Journal of Urban Energy Policy, 14*(3), 147-160.

Federal Ministry of Works. (2021). *National road infrastructure stocktake report*. Federal Government of Nigeria.

Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry, 12*(2), 219-245.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). *Global forest resources assessment 2020: Nigeria country report*. FAO.

Geels, F. W. (2002). Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes: A

multi-level perspective and a case-study. *Research Policy, 31*(8-9), 1257-1274.
Geels, F. W. (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems: Insights about dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory. *Research Policy, 33*(6-7), 897-920.
Geels, F. W., & Schot, J. (2007). Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways. *Research Policy, 36*(3), 399-417.
Goffner, D., Sinare, H., & Gordon, L. J. (2019). The Great Green Wall for the Sahara and the Sahel Initiative as an opportunity to enhance resilience in Sahelian landscapes and livelihoods. *Regional Environmental Change, 19*(5), 1417-1428.
Gouldson, A., & Murphy, J. (1998). *Regulatory realities: The implementation and impact of industrial environmental regulation*. Earthscan.
Guerrero, L. A., Maas, G., & Hogland, W. (2013). Solid waste management challenges for cities in developing countries. *Waste Management, 33*(1), 220-232.
Hajer, M. A. (1995). *The politics of environmental discourse: Ecological modernization and the policy process*. Oxford University Press.
Huber, J. (2004). *New technologies and environmental innovation*. Edward Elgar.
Imam, A., Mohammed, B., Wilson, D. C., & Cheeseman, C. R. (2008). Solid waste management in Abuja, Nigeria. *Waste Management, 28*(2), 468-472.
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press.
IW:LEARN. (2025, October 29). *Mallam Bello*. https://news.iwlearn.net/mallam-bello
Jänicke, M. (2008). Ecological modernisation: New perspectives. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 16*(5), 557-565.
Kadafa, A. A. (2012). Environmental impacts of oil exploration and exploitation in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research, 12*(3), 19-28.
Lehtonen, M. (2004). The environmental-social interface of sustainable development: Capabilities, social capital, institutions. *Ecological Economics, 49*(2), 199-214.
Marshall, R. E., & Farahbakhsh, K. (2013). Systems approaches to integrated solid waste management in developing countries. *Waste Management, 33*(4), 988-1003.
Mohai, P., Pellow, D., & Roberts, J. T. (2009). Environmental justice. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 34*, 405-430.
Mol, A. P. J. (2001). *Globalization and environmental reform: The ecological modernization of the global economy*. MIT Press.
Mol, A. P. J., & Sonnenfeld, D. A. (Eds.). (2000). *Ecological modernisation around the world: Perspectives and critical debates*. Frank Cass Publishers.
Murphy, J., & Gouldson, A. (2000). Environmental policy and industrial innovation: Integrating environment and economy through ecological modernisation. *Geoforum, 31*(1), 33-44.
National Population Commission. (2022). *Nigeria population and housing census 2022: Provisional results*. NPC.
Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission. (2021). *Quarterly report Q4 2021*. NERC.
Nwankwo, O. (2020). Skills gap in renewable energy technologies in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Renewable Energy Training and Education, 9*(2), 54-69.
Obasi, F. (2021). High capital cost: A barrier to renewable energy adoption in Nigerian buildings. *Journal of Energy Finance and Policy, 17*(2), 233-248.
Ogwueleka, T. C. (2009). Municipal solid waste characteristics and management in Nigeria. *Iranian Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering, 6*(3), 173-180.
Ohunakin, O. S., Adaramola, M. S., Oyewola, O. M., & Fagbenle, R. L. (2014). The potential

of small-scale hydropower for electricity generation in Nigeria. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 37*, 83-92.

Okoye, E., & Umeh, I. (2021). The vulnerability of African cities to climate change: The case of Nigeria. *Environmental Impact Journal, 18*(4), 398-410.

Olayemi, O. (2019). Urbanization and energy use in Nigeria: Examining the challenges and opportunities. *Energy Policy Journal, 15*(3), 243-255.

Olowolafe, T. S. (2025). *A study of the role communities and relevant stakeholders play in the management of unofficial waste sites in developing countries - A case study of Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria* [Doctoral thesis, University of Central Lancashire]. CLOK.

Oluwaniyi, O. O. (2020). Environmental governance in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 22*(1), 45-62.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Pomponi, F., & Moncaster, A. (2017). Circular economy for the built environment: A research framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 143*, 710-718.

Sambo, A. S. (2010). Renewable energy development in Nigeria: Status and challenges. *Nigerian Journal of Solar Energy, 21*(1), 1-10.

Scheinberg, A., Wilson, D. C., & Rodic, L. (2010). *Solid waste management in the world's cities*. UN-Habitat/Earthscan.

Schlosberg, D. (2007). *Defining environmental justice: Theories, movements, and nature*. Oxford University Press.

Smith, A., Stirling, A., & Berkhout, F. (2005). The governance of sustainable socio-technical transitions. *Research Policy, 34*(10), 1491-1510.

Smith, A., Voß, J. P., & Grin, J. (2010). Innovation studies and sustainability transitions: The allure of the multi-level perspective and its challenges. *Research Policy, 39*(4), 435-448.

Sonnenfeld, D. A., & Mol, A. P. J. (2002). Globalization and the transformation of environmental governance. *American Behavioral Scientist, 45*(9), 1318-1339.

Stavins, R. N., Reinhardt, F., & Vietor, R. H. K. (2007). *Environmental economics and management*. Harvard Business School Publishing.

Stern, N. (2007). *The economics of climate change: The Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.

The Guardian. (2025, October 3). Championing waste-to-wealth as Nigeria's pathway to sustainable infrastructure. *The Guardian Nigeria*. https://guardian.ng/issue/championing-waste-to-wealth-as-nigerias-pathway-to-sustainable-infrastructure/

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8*(1), 45.

Turner, M. D. (2018). Climate change and development in the Sahel: A review of adaptation challenges. *Climate and Development, 10*(5), 389-402.

Unegbu, H., Yawas, D. S., Dan-asabe, B., & Alabi, A. A. (2025). Greening Nigeria's cities: A case study on renewable energy in sustainable urban development. *Journal of Sustainability and Construction, 4*(2). https://doi.org/10.26593/josc.v4i2.8867

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. United Nations.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Environmental assessment of Ogoniland*. UNEP.

United Nations Human Settlements Programme. (2020). *World cities report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization*. UN-Habitat.

Usman, N. (2019). Wind energy potential in northern Nigeria: A feasibility study. *Renewable Energy Reports, 16*(5), 251-268.

van de Klundert, A., & Anschütz, J. (2001). *Integrated sustainable waste management: The concept*. WASTE.

Wilson, D. C., Araba, A. O., Chinwah, K., & Cheeseman, C. R. (2009). Building recycling rates through the informal sector. *Waste Management, 29*(2), 629-635.

Wilson, D. C., Velis, C., & Cheeseman, C. (2006). Role of informal sector recycling in waste management in developing countries. *Habitat International, 30*(4), 797-808.

Women and Gender Constituency. (2025, October 21). *Women building and using clean cook stoves and planting a Great Green Wall on over 1500 km*. https://womengenderclimate.org/fr/gjcs/women-building-and-using-clean-cook-stoves-and-planting-a-great-green-wall-on-over-1500-km/

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.

World Health Organization. (2021). *Air pollution and child health: Prescribing clean air*. WHO.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

York, R., & Rosa, E. A. (2003). Key challenges to ecological modernization theory. *Organization & Environment, 16*(3), 273-288.